**POOR QUALITY** THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT (S) ARE FADED &BLURRED

PHOTO MICROGRAPHICS INC.

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(x) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(x) 1965.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 7th day of January , 1975, she served the within

Notice of Decision \*\* Departmentation\* by (certified) mail upon JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Schmuckler 3671 Hudson Manor Terrace, Apt. 9-A Bronx, New York

Janet Mack

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (TRETERNICALINES and petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (TRETERNICALINE) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

7th day of January , 197

AD-1.30 (1/74)

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income
Taxes under Article (\*\*) 22 of the
Tax Law for the Year (\*\*) 1965.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK , being duly sworn, deposes and says that

she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 23rdday of December , 1974, she served the within

Notice of Decision (xxxDetxxxination) by (certified) mail upon JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* the petitioner in the within

Anet mach

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid

wrapper addressed as follows:

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Schmuckler 1 Sickles Street, Apt. E-16 New York, New York 10040

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

Sworn to before me this

23rd day of December , 19

AD-1.30 (1/74)

In the Matter of the Petition

οf

JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF NOTICE OF DECISION BY (CERTIFIED) MAIL

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article (sx 22 of the Tax Law for the Year (x): 1965.

State of New York County of Albany

JANET MACK

, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of December , 1974 , she served the within age, and that on the 23rd day of Notice of Decision (xxxDetexnolmexion) by (certified) mail upon MEYER ROSH, ESQ.

Janet Mach

(representative of) the petitioner in the within

proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid Meyer Rosh, Esq.

wrapper addressed as follows:

Stein, Schwartz, Chesir & Rosh

565 Fifth Avenue

10017 New York, New York

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office Department within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

December



# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

STATE TAX COMMISSION

EDWARD ROOK SECRETARY TO COMMISSION

#### STATE TAX COMMISSION

MARIO A. PROCACCINO, PRESIDENT A. BRUCE MANLEY MILTON KOERNER BUILDING 9, ROOM 214-A STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227

AREA CODE 518

DATED: Albany, New York
December 23, 1974

ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

MR. WRIGHT 457-2655 MR. LEISNER 457-2657 MR. COBURN 457-2896

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Schmuckler 1 Sickles Street, Apt. 2-16 New York, New York 10040

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Schmickler:

Please take notice of the **DECISION** of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section (5) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 menths from the date of this notice.

Any inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. These will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

Migel G. Wright HEARING OFFICER

Enc.

cc:

Petitioner's Representative

Law Bureau

STATE OF NEW YORK

### STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

JACK & EDITH SCHMUCKLER

DECISION

for a Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Year 1965.

Jack and Edith Schmuckler filed a petition pursuant to section 689 of the Tax Law for a refund of amounts paid under a Notice of Deficiency dated February 24, 1969, in personal income tax imposed under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the year 1965.

In lieu of a hearing, petitioners have, through their attorney, Meyer Rosh, Esq., of Stein, Schwartz, Chesir & Rosh, submitted the petition to the State Tax Commission on the file of the Income Tax Bureau as supplimented by documents submitted by petitioners.

The said file and documents have been duly examined and considered.

#### ISSUE

The issue in this case is whether medical expenses are deductible when the taxpayer receives sums in settlement of a lawsuit for the personal injuries giving rise to those medical expenses.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioner, Edith Schmuckler, suffered grave personal injuries including a partial amputation of both legs, as a result of an automobile accident on December 2, 1963. She was hospitalized for about two years.
- 2. In 1965, petitioners' deducted \$12,429.38 on their New York return as medical expenses out of total medical expenses paid of \$13,381.66. This included \$7,070.50 for doctor bills and \$5,977.55 for hospital bills accumulating since 1963, because of Mrs. Schmuckler's injuries.
- 3. In previous years, petitioners had incurred and paid hospital bills of \$18,236.35 and other bills of about \$4,000.00 because of Mrs. Schmuckler's injuries. However, they had deducted only \$966.00 in 1963 and \$4,700.66 in 1964. It can be presumed that some of these previous years' bills were compensated for by medical insurance.
- 4. A lawsuit for recovery of damages for the personal injuries to Mrs. Schmuckler was instituted in Supreme Court,

  New York County. The complaint asked for damages of \$600,000.00.

  The defendents were insured for \$150,000.00. This suit was never tried but a settlement was arrived at in the amount of \$135,000.00.

  A general release was received with no amount being identified for medical expenses. The net recovery to petitioners, after legal fees and costs, was \$87,959.44.
- 5. The refund requested of the payment under the deficiency notice relates to only that part of the deficiency denying a deduction of medical expenses for 1965 of \$12,479.38 and the

adding to income of amounts received allegedly in reimbursement of medical expenses deducted in previous years of \$966.00 for 1963 and \$4,700.66 for 1964. A part of the deficiency relating to the deduction of state and local income taxes is not contested. The deficiency notice as issued amounted to \$1,669.24 with interest of \$286.22 to February 24, 1969, all of which has been paid.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The medical expenses here in issue may be allowed. Medical expenses are deductible in the current year only to the extent that they are not compensated for by insurance or otherwise. (I.R.C. §213(a)). Any amount received which is "attributable to" medical expenses deductible in prior years are included in income even if such amount is received as compensation for personal injuries. (I.R.C. §104(a)). (U.S. Treas. Reg. 1.213-1(g); Zeeman v. U.S., 395 F2d 861). It has been held that a current medical deduction is not allowable where hospital bills are satisfied out of the proceeds of the settlement of negligence actions in cases where by stipulation a defendent, in effect, reimbursed itself before paying the plaintiff (Benjamin D. Morgan, 55 TC 376), and similarly it is not allowable where, by reason of a validly filed hospital lien, the defendent's payments were first applied to existing hospital bills before being released unconditionally to the plaintiff. (Daniel T. Cooney, 1971 Tax Court memo dec. 201.) In neither of these cases did the taxpayer have any "out-of-pocket" expenses. Generally where compensation for injuries does not specifically designate medical expenses such compensation will be considered not related to such expenses. (Robert O. Deming, 9 U.S. Tax Court 383.)

### DECISION

The deficiency is recomputed to be \$16.50 plus interest to February 24, 1969 of \$2.83 for a total of \$19.33. Said sum is due together with such further interest as shall be computed under section 684 of the Tax Law.

DATED: Albany, New York
December 23, 1974

STATE TAX COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

Commissioner Commissioner

COMMISSIONER

